EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

99-200

From: Willard Cottrell
To: Michael Copps

Date: Mon, Mar 31,2003 11:53 AM Keep media free and competitive

Dear Commissioner:

One of the basic elements which help to keep the American media at least partially free and independent is the set of FCC regulations restricting consolidation and monopolies.

In the 2002 Biennial Review, the FCC appears to be planning to roll back many of these protective regulations: the Newspaper/Broadcast Cross-Ownership Rule. the National Broadcast Ownership Cap, the Local Radio Ownership Rule: the Duopoly Rule and the Dual Network Rule.

Relaxationor abandonment of the preceding rules will result in the purchase of local and independent newspapers and radio and television stations by large media giants. The cost to the American People and Democracy will be far too high if local news, reportorial freedom and access to a true variety of legitimate views are further compromised.

Commissioner, I urge you to make sure the FCC does not relax or drop these vital regulatory rules.

Sincerely,

Willard Cottrell 265 Turtle Dove TR Burnsville, NC 28714

RECEIVED

APR - 8 2003

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

From:

Willard Cottrell

Date:

Mike Powell

Subject:

Mon, Mar 31,2003 11:53 AM Keep media free and competitive

Dear Commissioner Powell:

One of the basic elements which help to keep the American media at least partially free and independent is the set of FCC regulations restricting consolidation and monopolies.

In the 2002 Biennial Review, the FCC appears to be planning to roll back many of these protective regulations: the Newspaper/Broadcast Cross-Ownership Rule, the National Broadcast Ownership Cap, the Local Radio Ownership Rule, the Duopoly Rule and the Dual Nelwork Rule.

Relaxation or abandonment of the preceding rules will result in the purchase of local and independent newspapers and radio and television stations by large media giants. The cost to the American People and Democracy will be far too high if local news, reportorial freedom and access to a true variety of legitimate views are further compromised.

Commissioner Powell, I urge you to make sure the FCC does not relax or drop these vital regulatory rules.

Sincerely,

Willard Cottrell 265 Turtle Dove TR Burnsville, NC 28714 RECEIVED

APR - 8 2003

Willard Cottrell

To:

Kathleen Abernathy

Date: Subject:

Mon, Mar 31,2003 11:53 AM Keep media free and competitive

Dear Commissioner:

One of the basic elements which help to keep the American media at least partially free and independent is the set of FCC regulations restricting consolidation and monopolies.

In the 2002 Biennial Review, the FCC appears to be planning to roll back many of these protective regulations: the Newspaper/Broadcast Cross-Ownership Rule, the National Broadcast Ownership Cap, the Local Radio Ownership Rule, the Duopoly Rule and the Dual Network Rule.

Relaxation *or* abandonment of the preceding rules will result in the purchase of local and independent newspapers and radio and television stations by large media giants. The cost to the American People and Democracy will be far too high if local news, reportorial freedom and access *to* a true variety of legitimate views are further compromised.

Commissioner, I urge you to make sure the FCC does not relax or drop these vital regulatory rules.

Sincerely,

Willard Cottrell 265 Turtle Dove TR Burnsville. NC 28714 EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

RECEIVED

APR - 8 2003

Bruce Moreau

To: Date: Michael Copps Mon, Mar 31,2003 12:21 PM

Subject:

Clear Channel Concern

I am writing this brief note as a private citizen (not as a part of an organized group or campaign) concerned about the effects of Clear Channel Entertainment's dominance of the Southern California FM radio market on the traditionally diverse and open people's airwaves.

The primary basis for my concern is the fact that Clear Channel operates several popular FM radio stations in the *Los* Angeles area (KIIS FM, KYSR FM, KOST FM, KBIG FM, etc.) and it appears as though the commercial breaks are synchronized to occur simultaneously between stations. In other words, switching from one Clear Channel station to another to avoid commercials more often than not leads to another commercial period than if you had switched to a non-Clear Channel station.

My professional background says this is good business sense you present **a** unified package of companies to an advertiser and point out that the negative effect of listeners switching channels is minimized with the dominant Clear Channel family. My libertarian side, however, thinks this may be a direct manipulation of the people's airwaves a simple business act that limits my listening choice as well as places other stations at a competitive disadvantage.

My hope is that the FCC, with its wealth of experts and public funding, is able to scientifically quantify what I have observed over the past few years and take action to address it. I believe that if large corporations are going to be allowed to own several stations in a single market, that they must operate these stations openly, fairly and always in the best interest of the public.

Thank you for your time

Bruce Moreau 1041 Bradshawe Avenue Monterey Park, CA 91754 626-284-9905 EX PARTS OR LATE FILED

RECEIVED

APR - 8 2003

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

From: saundcj@NU.COM
To: Michael Copps

Date: Mon, Mar 31,2003 12:44 PM

Subject: Media monopoly

Dear Mr. Copps,

I deeply share your concerns about cross ownership of media in the same communities and strongly urge you to continue to oppose it. The media is already used as a propaganda machine by its powerful owners through selective reporting. Cross ownership would result in only one biased point of view being presented and greatly facilitate further indoctrination and control of the public.

Chris Saunders Southington, Connecticut **RECEIVED**

APR - 8 2003

EX PARTE OR LATE MLED

From:

Claire Shupe

To: Date: Michael Copps
Mon. Mar 31.2003 12:52 PM

Subject:

Media Restrictions - Comment

Dear Mr. Copps:

I am unable to attend the hearing at Duke University today, but wish to voice my opinion.

Last month I stopped listening to the radio. I enjoy music and have radios in every room in my house as well as at work and in the car. All were tuned to the local station (106.1) that played the type of music I love. No matter where I was, there was a radio on.

Last year the station I had listened to for the nine years I've lived here changed formats. They still played the same kind of music, but switched to an anti-women, conservative right format where the **DJ's**, commercials and station promo's were insulting and offensive. The station is owned by Clear Channel.

I spent several weeks trying to find another station to listen to. I did finally find one other station that didn't come in quite as well, but played the kind of music I like. Unfortunately, they also switched to the same offensive format, and they are also owned by Clear Channel.

In desperation I started listening to a different station that plays music I can stand. Not music I love, but at least I can listen to it. Last month they also began some promo's that I find offensive, and the DJ's are getting bad too. You guessed it, the station is owned by Clear Channel.

Clear Channel already has too much control over my listening area. The last thing they need is access to other media. It is frustrating that I can't just tune my radio to another station and hear music I enjoy without the political overtones and offensive humor. Where is the country heading? Soon we will have the equivalent of TASS, some enormous media entity that will have control of everything we see, hear or read in our local area.

I would like to **see** the FCC enact some regulations to limit the number of radio stations a company can own within a **geographic/listening** area. I have made the choice to not listen, but I miss having music in my life and would much prefer to have a choice of radio stations to listen to.

Thank you for taking a few minutes to read my comments.

Sincerely, Claire Tortora Shupe 414 Cary Pines Drive Cary, NC 27513

RECEIVED

APR - 8 2003

MSN 8 with e-mail virus protection service: 2 months FREE* http://join.msn.com/?page=features/virus

EX PARTS OR LATE FILED

From:

southona@aol.com

To: Date: Commissioner Adelstein Mon, Mar 31, 2003 1:32 PM

Subject:

Protect Children's Television!

RECEIVED

APR - 8 2003

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

FCC Commissioner Jonathan S. Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Jonathan S. Adelstein,

As a parent, I am concerned about the current and future state of children's television. The FCC must consider the unique needs of children in its upcoming rulemaking on broadcast ownership rules.

Children consume almost five and a half hours of media per day. Research has shown that media, particularly television, play a unique and powerful role in children's development.

The FCC should consider how further relaxation of media ownership rules would impact children's programming. Deregulation may reduce competition, increase commercialism and result in **less** original programming for children

Mr. Rogers served as an advocate for children, attempting to model appropriate tv production and content for children. Now, after his death, we as parents need your help advocating for respecting children, nurturing their self-esteem, and not talking down to them. We want quality programming for children on network, cable, and public tv, with real, observable and measurable educational impact.

Before making any regulatory changes to existing media ownership rules, the FCC must consider how children will be affected.

Sincerely,

Amy Southon 1217 Basswood Dr. Naperville, Illinois 60540

CC:

Senator Richard Durbin Senator Peter Fitzgerald Representative Judy Bigger! EXECUTE OR LATE FILED

From: To:

Steve Alleman Mike Powell

Date:

Mon, Mar 31,2003 2:03 PM

Subject:

Media Ownership

RECEIVED

APR - 8 2003

Federal Communications Commission Office of the Secretary

Mr Powell—The proposed changes in the limitations on media ownership seem to me quite dangerous in terms of them public's ability to be informed about the important issues of the day. Concentration of ownership in the radio business has already had a disastrous effect on the music business. The fact that the proposed changes in the regulations have not been widely reported is evidence of the problems we face.

Diversity, opportunity and competition are essential, and it is important that the trend toward centralization and monopoly be reversed.

Thanks you for your time.

Steve Alleman New Orleans LA

Steve Alleman

To:

Michael Copps

Date:

Mon, Mar 31, 2003 2:03 PM

Subject:

Media Ownership

Mr Copps--

Just a note of appreciation for your efforts to maintain the limitations on media ownership. The proposed changes seem to me quite dangerous in terms of them public's ability to be informed about the important issues of the day. Concentration of ownership in the radio business has already had a disastrous effect on the music business. The fact that the proposed changes in the regulations have not been widely reported is evidence of the problems we face.

Diversity, opportunity and competition are essential, and it is important that the trend toward centralization and monopoly be reversed.

Thanks again. Fight the good fight.

Steve Alleman New Orleans LA EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

RECEIVED

APR - 8 2003

Steve Alleman

To:

Kathleen Abernathy

Date:

Mon, Mar 31,2003 2:03 PM

Subject:

Media ownership

Ms Abernathy--

The proposed changes in the limitations on media ownership seem to me quite dangerous in terms of them public's ability to be informed about the important issues of the day. Concentration of ownership in the radio business has already had a disastrous effect on the music business. The fact that the proposed changes in the regulations have not been widely reported is evidence of the problems we face.

Diversity, opportunity and competition are essential, and it is important that the trend toward centralization and monopoly be reversed.

Thanks you for your time

Steve Alleman New Orleans LA EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

RECEIVED

APR - 8 2003

3

From:

Steve Alleman

To: Date: commissioner Adelstein

Subject:

Mon, Mar 31,2003 2:03 PM Media ownership

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

Mr Adelstein--

The proposed changes in the limitations on media ownership seem to me quite dangerous in terms of the public's ability to be informed about the important issues of the day. Concentration of ownership in the radio business has already had a disastrous effect on the music business. The fact that the proposed changes in the regulations have not been widely reported is evidence of the problems we face.

Diversity, opportunity and competition are essential, and it is important that the trend toward centralization and monopoly be reversed.

Thanks you for your time.

Steve Alleman New Orleans LA **RECEIVED**

APR - 8 2003

Kathleen Abernathy KAQUINN

To:

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

Date:

Mon, Mar 31,2003 4:17 PM

Subject:

Fwd: Pls, NO to media power in hands of a few.

IEC

APR - 8 2003

EX PARTE OR LRAF FILED

From:

Pjdouglasl@aol.com

To:

Mike Powell, Kathleen Abernathy, Michael Copps, KM KJMWEB. Commissioner

Adelstein

Date:

Mon, Mar 31,2003 4:17 PM

Subject:

Pls, NO to media power in hands of a few.

We do not want media ownership concentrated in the hands of the few. That is very scary. We must have independent news. Think of your children and grandchildren. They must live in a land of liberty with responsibility.

Thank you,

P.J.Douglas 31775 Via Belardes San Juan Capistrano CA 92675

RECEIVED

APR - 8 2003

P.J. Douglas

From: Pjdouglasl@aol.com

To: Mike Powell, Kathleen Abernathy, Michael Copps, KM KJMWEB, Commissioner

Adelstein

Date: Man, Mar 31,2003 4:17 PM

Subject: Pls, NO to media power in hands of a few.

We do not want media ownership concentrated in the hands of the few. That is very scary. We must have independent news. Think **d** your children and grandchildren. They must live in a land of liberty with responsibility.

Thank you,

P.J. Douglas 31775 Via Belardes San Juan Capistrano CA 92675

P.J.Douglas

Pjdouglasl@aol.com

То:

Mike Powell, Kathleen Abernathy, Michael Copps, KM KJMWEB, Commissioner

Adelstein

Date:

Mon, Mar 31, 2003 4:17 PM

Subject:

Pls, NO to media power in hands of a few.

We do not want media ownership concentrated in the hands of the few. That is very scary. We must have independent news. Think of your children and grandchildren. They must live in a land of liberty with responsibility. Thank you,

P.J.Douglas 31775 Via Belardes San Juan Capistrano CA 92675

P.J.Douglas

Pidouglasl@aol.com

To:

Mike Powell, Kathleen Abernathy, Michael Copps, KM KJMWEB, Commissioner

Adelstein

Date:

Mon, Mar31, 2003 4:17 PM

Subject:

Pls, NO to media power in hands of a few.

We do not want media ownership concentrated in the hands of the few. That is very scary. We must have independent news. Think of your children and grandchildren. They must live in a land of liberty with responsibility. Thank you,

rriariik you,

P.J.Douglas 31775 Via Belardes San Juan Capistrano CA 92675

P.J. Douglas

Kristina Boerger

To:

Kathleen Abernathy

Date:

Mon, Mar 31, 2003 4:56 PM

Subject:

Open airwaves

Dear Commissioner Abernathy:

I am one citizen aware that the conglomerates controlling the preponderance of our airwaves are blacklisting voices that communicate -- or have been interpreted as communicating -- a message that opposes war.

For SHAME!! Freedom of expression begins at home, and I am writing to urge you to reopen the airwaves.

Sincerely,

Dr. Kristina Boerger

From: Fogel, Jerise To: Michael Copps

Date: Mon, Mar 31, 2003 6:51 PM

Subject: Stop the Censorship of our Airwaves

Dear Mr. Copps.

The stations of the largest two companies in the U.S. currently get 42% of the radio audience of the U.S.

This is unacceptable as a status quo for our democracy. One of the groups, Clear Channel Communications, operates 1,214 radio stations! In some places it is virtually the only radio voice in town.

In addition, these stations are interfering in public opinion in a blatantway. Clear Channel issued a list of recording artists not to be played on its stations, including Louis Armstrong! Cumulus Media, which owns 262 radio stations, stopped its 42 country-music stations from playing the Dixie Chicks. One of its stations in Louisiana bulldozed Dixie Chick CDs! This is not deference to listeners. This is the kind of frightening censorship that the Nazis practiced in their book-burnings.

I call upon you, as a Commissioner of the FCC, to put a halt to this abuse of our airwaves. Once, a single company could only own 7 radio stations. We should go back to that regulation, which was eliminated under Clinton, and protect the diversity of our airwaves. I call upon you in particular to halt support for the Telecommunications Act which will make even larger chunks of power available to Clear Channel and others.

Thank you, and I hope to hear from you on your stand on this issue

Sincerely,

Jerise Fogel

From: Fogel, Jerise To: Kathleen Abernathy

Date: Mon, Mar 31,2003 6:51 PM

Subject: Stop the Censorship of our Airwaves

Dear Ms. Abernathy,

The stations of the largest two companies in the U.S. currently get 42% of the radio audience of the U.S.

This is unacceptable as a status quo for our democracy. One of the groups, Clear Channel Communications, operates 1,214 radio stations! In some places it is virtually the only radio voice in town.

In addition, these stations are interfering in public opinion in a blatant way. Clear Channel issued a list of recording artists not to be played on its stations, including Louis Armstrong! Cumulus Media, which owns 262 radio stations, stopped its 42 country-music stations from playing the Dixie Chicks. One of its stations in Louisiana bulldozed Dixie Chick CDs! This is not deference to listeners. This is the kind of frightening censorship that the Nazis practiced in their book-burnings.

I call upon you, as a Commissioner of the FCC, to put a halt to this abuse of our airwaves. Once, a single company could only own 7 radio stations. We should go back to that regulation, which was eliminated under Clinton, and protect the diversity of our airwaves. I call upon you in particular to halt support for the Telecommunications Act which will make even larger chunks of power available to Clear Channel and others.

Thank you, and I hope to hear from you on your stand on this issue

Sincerely,

Jerise Fogel

From: To: Vera V Zlatarski Kathleen Abernathy

Date:

Mon, Mar 31, 2003 7:13 PM

Subject:

protectingfree speech at home

Dear Commissioner Abernathy:

I write to you today as a patriotic American who is very concerned and troubled with the stifling of free speech on our nation's airwaves. How is it possible that entities such as Clear Channel Communications, which controls over twelve hundred radio stations in the U.S. openly sponsor pro-war rallies across the nation and censor the broadcast of peace songs and news reports relating to the war in Iraq that do not support their specific political agenda? Our laws recognize the dangers of monopolies in the commercial sector; over our airwaves the dangers and the stakes are immeasurably greater. I urge you to work for legislation that breaks up the control of these monopolies.

Yours truly,

Vera Zlatarski 337 **E.** 85th Street, #2A New York, NY 10028 tel. 212-7178199 or 212-225-2148 fax 212-225-3999 or 212-693-9781

This message is being sent from a law firm and may contain information which is confidential or privileged If you are not the intended recipient, please advise the sender immediately by reply e-mail and delete this message and any attachments without retaining a copy.

From: Vera V Zlatarski To: Michael Copps

Date: Mon. Mar 31,2003 7:13 PM Subject: protecting free speech at home

Dear Commissioner Copps:

I write to you today as a patriotic American who is very concerned and troubled with the stifling of free speech on our nation's airwaves. How is it possible that entities such as Clear Channel Communications, which controls over twelve hundred radio stations in the U.S. penly sponsor pro-war rallies across the nation and censor the broadcast of peace songs and news reports relating to the war in Iraq that do not support their specific political agenda? Our laws recognize the dangers of monopolies in the commercial sector; over our airwaves the dangers and the stakes are immeasurably greater. I urge you to work for legislation that breaks up the control of these monopolies.

Yours truly,

Vera Zlatarski 337 E. 85th Street, #2A New York, NY 10028 tel. 212-7178199 or 212-225-2148 fax 212-225-3999 or 212-693-9781

This message is being sent from a law firm and may contain information which is confidential or F

If you are not the i to led recipient, led to it is sender in the led recipient, led to it is the led recipient.

If you are not the i to led recipient, led to it is sender in the led recipient.

If you are not the i to led recipient, led to it is a led recipient.

If you are not the i to led recipient, led you are not the it is a led recipient.

From: Gordon Giles
To: Kathleen Abernathy

Date: Mon, Mar 31,2003 7:39 PM
Subject: FCC don't allow media monopolies

Dear Commissioner:

One of the basic elements which help to keep the American media at least partially free and independent is the set of FCC regulations restricting consolidation and monopolies.

In the 2002 Biennial Review, the FCC appears to be planning to roll back many of these protective regulations: the Newspaper/Broadcast Cross-Ownership Rule, the National Broadcast Ownership Cap, the Local Radio Ownership Rule, the Duopoly Rule and the Dual Network Rule.

Relaxation or abandonment of the preceding rules will result in the purchase of local and independent newspapers and radio and television stations by large media giants. The cost to the American People and Democracy will be far too high if local news, reportorial freedom and access to a true variety of legitimate views are further compromised.

Commissioner, I urge you to make sure the FCC does not relax or drop these vital regulatory rules.

Sincerely,

Gordon Giles 2853 E. Cobre Dr. Phoenix, AZ 85028 Linda Brewster, Ph.D. 2853 E. Cobre Dr. Phoenix, AZ 85028 From: Gordon Giles

To: Commissioner Adelstein

Mon, Mar 31, 2003 7:39 PM Date: Subject:

FCC don't allow media monopolies

Dear Commissioner:

One of the basic elements which help to keep the American media at least partially free and independent is the set of FCC regulations restricting consolidation and monopolies.

In the 2002 Biennial Review, the FCC appears to be planning to roll back many of these protective regulations: the Newspaper/Broadcast Cross-Ownership Rule, the National Broadcast Ownership Cap, the Local Radio Ownership Rule, the Duopoly Rule and the Dual Network Rule.

Relaxation or abandonment of the preceding rules will result in the purchase of local and independent newspapers and radio and television stations by large media giants. The cost to the American People and Democracy will be far too high if local news, reportorial freedom and access to a true variety of legitimate views are further compromised.

Commissioner, I urge you to make sure the FCC does not relax or drop these vital regulatory rules.

Sincerely,

Gordon Giles 2853 E. Cobre Dr. Phoenix, AZ 85028 Linda Brewster, Ph.D. 2853 E. Cobre Dr. Phoenix, AZ 85028

From: Gordon Giles
To: Michael Copps

Date: Mon, Mar 31, 2003 7:39 PM Subject: FCC don't allow media monopolies

Dear Commissioner:

One of the basic elements which help to keep the American media at least partially free and independent is the set of FCC regulations restricting consolidation and monopolies.

In the 2002 Biennial Review, the FCC appears to be planning to roll back many of these protective regulations: the Newspaper/Broadcast Cross-Ownership Rule, the National Broadcast Ownership Cap, the Local Radio Ownership Rule, the Duopoly Rule and the Dual Network Rule.

Relaxation or abandonment of the preceding rules will result in the purchase of local and independent newspapers and radio and television stations by large media giants. The cost to the American People and Democracy will be far too high if local news, reportorial freedom and access to a true variety of legitimate views are further compromised.

Commissioner, I urge you to make sure the FCC **does** not relax or drop these vital regulatory rules.

Sincerely,

Gordon Giles 2853 E. Cobre Dr. Phoenix, AZ 85028 Linda Brewster, Ph.D 2853 E. Cobre Dr. Phoenix, AZ 85028 From: Gordon Giles
To: Mike Powell

Date: Mon, Mar 31,2003 7:39 PM Subject: FCC don't allow media monopolies

Dear Commissioner Powell:

One of the basic elements which help to keep the American media at least partially free and independent is the **set** of FCC regulations restricting consolidation and monopolies.

In the 2002 Biennial Review, the FCC appears to be planning to roll back many of these protective regulations: the Newspaper/Broadcast Cross-Ownership Rule, the National Broadcast Ownership Cap, the Local Radio Ownership Rule, the Duopoly Rule and the Dual Network Rule

Relaxation or abandonment of the preceding rules will result in the purchase of local and independent newspapers and radio and television stations by large media giants. The cost to the American People and Democracy will be far too high if local news, reportorial freedom and access to a true variety of legitimate views are further compromised.

Commissioner Powell, I urge you to make sure the FCC does not relax or drop these vital regulatory rules.

Sincerely,

Gordon Giles 2853 E. Cobre Dr. Phoenix, AZ 85028 Linda Brewster, Ph.D. 2853 E. Cobre Dr. Phoenix, AZ 85028 From: Ann Medlock

To: Mike Powell, Kathleen Abernathy, Michael Copps, KM KJMWEB, Commissioner

Adelstein

Date: Mon, Mar 31,2003 7:53 PM

Subject: liberty of the airwaves

It is imperative to the preservation of liberty and to the maintaining of an informed citizenry that the consolidation of the broadcast airwaves into a few hands be stopped. There must never be a unanimous chorus of opinion in a free society; there must always be access for all the voices and views of our people. Please exercise your FCC powers to stop the headlong disappearance of that multitude of views.

Ann Medlock
Founder/creative director
The Giraffe Heroes Project
http://www.giraffe.org
medlock@giraffe.org

From: Ann Medlock

To: Mike Powell, Kathleen Abernathy, Michael Copps, KM KJMWEB, Commissioner

Adelstein

Date: Mon, Mar 31,2003 7:53 PM

Subject: liberty of the airwaves

It is imperative to the preservation of liberty and to the maintaining of an informed citizenry that the consolidation of the broadcastairwaves into a few hands be stopped. There must never be a unanimous chorus of opinion in a free society; there must always be access for all the voices and views of our people. Please exercise your FCC powers to stop the headlong disappearance of that multitude of views.

_-

Ann Medlock Founderlcreative director The Giraffe Heroes Project http://www.giraffe.org medlock@giraffe.org From: Ronald D. Rex

To: Mike Powell, Kathleen Abernathy, Commissioner Adelstein

Date: Mon, Mar 31, 2003 7:54 PM

Subject: Please Consider

Please do the job the FCC exists to accomplish and clean up television programming. Not only is much (most) of the programming indecent and sick, it violates the law the FCC exists to enforce. Not only is it inappropriate for children and teens, it is an insult and offensive to adults who have any kind of standards of decency. Because of all the smut, our TV is rarely turned on, except for the news, weather, sports and an occasional nature show. If you don't do your job more effectively, the **U.S.** culture will continue its rapid slide into the gutter or cesspool. The entertainment industry of zero sense of decency. The FCC must do a much better job of forcing them to clean up their act. The majority of **us** do not want and are offended by the vast majority of programming. Even potentially good programs are ruined because of the smut they are driven to put into it.

Sincerely, Ronald Rex

To:

Kathleen Abernathy
KAQUINN
Mon, Mar 31,2003 8:43 PM

Date: Subject: Fwd: Please help

RBroches@aol.com

To:

Commissioner Adelstein

Date:

Mon, Mar 31, 2003 8:43 PM

Subject:

Please help

Please help to re-open the people's airwaves. Saddam Hussein censors Iraqi radio and television. Before we "carry democracy" to Iraq, let's bring democracy to the United States!

Please help roll backthe provisions of the Telecommunications Act that puts the power of telemedia in the hands of a relative handful of (usually ultra-conservative) owners. ie. Clear Channel Communications, Cumulus, and other such ownership groups.

Thank you for your help in making it possible for all citizens to be represented by the telemedia.

Renee Brochester, Providence, Rhode Island

CC: KM KJMWEB, Michael Copps, Kathleen Abernathy

Rosanne Brighton

Date:

Mon, Mar 31, 2003 10:15 PM

Subject:

people's airwaves

Please, please, please!!! We are a nation of opinionated people. Let our opinions be heard once more. Please re-open the people's airwaves. Before we "carry democracy" to Iraq, let's bring democracy to the United States! Let's stop big corporate giants from owning all of our media!

Opinions contrary to that of our government are prevalant, yet the media — especially TV and Radio stations play only the party line opinion. That's not truly reflecting the views of the citizens. Help us get real information on the air so people can make democratic choices in their lives.

Thanks for your time, Rosanne L. Brighton 1515 W. University Ave Champaign, IL 61821 From: Charles Alvarez
To: Kathleen Abernathy

Date: Tue, Apr 1, 2003 1:12 AM

Subject: Keep media free and competitive

Dear Commissioner:

One of the basic elements which help to keep the American media at least partially free and independent is the set of FCC regulations restricting consolidation and monopolies.

In the 2002 Biennial Review, the FCC appears to be planning to roll back many of these protective regulations: the Newspaper/Broadcast Cross-Ownership Rule, the National Broadcast Ownership Cap, the Local Radio Ownership Rule, the Duopoly Rule and the Dual Network Rule.

Relaxation or abandonment of the preceding rules will result in the purchase of local and independent newspapers and radio and television stations by large media giants. The cost to the American People and Democracy will be far too high if local news, reportorial freedom and access to a true variety of legitimate views are further compromised.

Commissioner, I urge you to make sure the FCC does not relax or drop these vital regulatory rules.

Sincerely,

Charles Alvarez 85-50 Forest PKWY #2M Woodhaven, NY 11421

DO YOU YAHOO! Get your free @yahoo.com address at http://mail.yahoo.com

From: Charles Alvarez

To: Commissioner Adelstein **Date:** Tue, Apr 1, 2003 1:12 AM

Subject: Keep media free and competitive

Dear Commissioner:

One of the basic elements which help to keep the American media at least partially free and independent is the set of FCC regulations restricting consolidation and monopolies.

In the 2002 Biennial Review, the FCC appears to be planning to roll back many of these protective regulations: the Newspaper/Broadcast Cross-Ownership Rule, the National Broadcast Ownership Cap, the Local Radio Ownership Rule, the Duopoly Rule and the Dual Network Rule.

Relaxation or abandonment of the preceding rules will result in the purchase of local and independent newspapers and radio and television stations by large media giants. The cost to the American People and Democracy will be far too high if local news, reportorial freedom and access to a true variety of legitimate views are further compromised.

Commissioner, I urge you to make sure the FCC does not relax or drop these vital regulatory rules.

Sincerely,

Charles Alvarez 85-50 Forest PKWY **#2M** Woodhaven, NY 11421

DO YOU YAHOO! Get your free @yahoo.com address at http://mail.yahoo.com

From: Charles Alvarez To: Mike Powell

Date: Tue, Apr 1, 2003 1:12 AM Subject: Keep media free and competitive

Dear Commissioner Powell:

One of the basic elements which help to keep the American media at least partially free and independent is the set of FCC regulations restricting consolidation and monopolies.

In the 2002 Biennial Review, the FCC appears to be planning to roll back many of these protective regulations: the Newspaper/Broadcast Cross-Ownership Rule, the National Broadcast Ownership Cap, the Local Radio Ownership Rule, the Duopoly Rule and the Dual Network Rule.

Relaxation or abandonment of the preceding rules will result in the purchase of local and independent newspapers and radio and television stations by large media giants. The cost to the American People and Democracy will be far too high if local news, reportorial freedom and access to a true variety of legitimate views are further compromised.

Commissioner Powell, I urge you to make sure the FCC does not relax or drop these vital regulatory rules.

Sincerely,

Charles Alvarez 85-50 Forest PKWY #2M Woodhaven. NY 11421

DO YOU YAHOO! Get your free @yahoo.com address at http://mail.yahoo.com